A system for monitoring NO$_2$ emissions from biomass burning by using GOME and ATSR-2 data
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Abstract. In this paper we propose a system for monitoring abnormal NO$_2$ emissions in the troposphere by using remote-sensing sensors. In particular, the system aims at estimating the amount of NO$_2$ resulting from biomass burning by exploiting the synergies between the GOME and the ATSR-2 sensors mounted on board of the ERS-2 satellite. Two different approaches to the estimation of NO$_2$ are proposed. The former, which is the simpler one, assumes a linear relationship between the GOME and ATSR-2 measurements and the NO$_2$ concentration. The latter exploits a nonlinear and nonparametric method based on a radial basis function (RBF) neural network. The architecture of such a network is defined in order to retrieve the values of NO$_2$ concentration on the basis of the GOME and ATSR-2 measurements, as well as of other ancillary input parameters. Experimental results, obtained on a real data set, confirm the effectiveness of the proposed system, which represents a promising tool for operational applications.

1. Introduction

The European Remote Sensing Satellite (ERS) Programme has been providing Earth observation measurements to the international user community for ten years. This has stimulated the development of science, public utility and commercial applications in a variety of disciplines related to the monitoring of the Earth’s environment. Nowadays, a very critical application concerns the monitoring of air pollution, since only a few specific remote-sensing sensors are available to accomplish this task. For this reason, it is important that efforts should be devoted to the development of remote-sensing sensors and processing methods capable of providing an accurate evaluation of air pollution. One of the most important issues concerns the development of automatic systems being able to monitor on a global scale the nitrogen dioxide (NO$_2$) emissions in the troposphere. In this context, the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME) sensor mounted on board of the ERS-2 satellite is an effective and unique monitoring resource. The GOME products, generated operationally at the German Processing and Archiving Facility (D-PAF) at the German Aerospace Center (DLR) comprise calibrated earthshine radiances and
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extra-terrestrial solar irradiance (Level 1 products) together with total column concentrations (stratosphere + troposphere) of ozone and nitrogen dioxide as well as cloud information (Level 2 products). To retrieve the tropospheric contribution to the column densities of trace gases in nadir viewing, additional information and processing are required. For this purpose, the GOME data have been treated in a variety of ways to obtain tropospheric information. A possible approach is to use knowledge of the different temporal and horizontal scales of constituents in the stratosphere and troposphere. In particular, on one hand, the tropospheric amount of NO\textsubscript{2} usually exhibits specific local behaviours; while on the other hand, the stratospheric amount of NO\textsubscript{2} exhibits a general global behaviour. The transport in the stratosphere also is appreciably greater than in the troposphere, so that a homogeneity of the NO\textsubscript{2} stratospheric column is to be expected (Leue et al. 1999).

An alternative vertical column (VC) approach for retrieving tropospheric information is to use measurements 'on-cloud' and 'off-cloud' to determine the amount of NO\textsubscript{2} below the cloud. However, the different albedos and the resulting photolysis field above the cloud introduce complications (TROPOSAT, 2000). Another method for the retrieval of tropospheric NO\textsubscript{2} is based on a combined assimilation retrieval approach, which takes into account the stratospheric background, the sensitivity to the vertical profile, clouds and the surface albedo.

Although several components contribute to NO\textsubscript{2} emissions (e.g. urban pollution), biomass burning is the most important source of nitrogen dioxide (Casadio et al. 1999, Zehner et al. 1999). For this reason, in this work we focus on biomass burning. In particular, a novel methodology is presented, which performs NO\textsubscript{2} emission estimation by exploiting the synergy between the GOME and the Along Track Scanning Radiometer (ATSR-2) instruments, both mounted on board the ERS-2 satellite. In particular, two main approaches to NO\textsubscript{2} estimation are proposed: (a) a simple linear approach that provides estimations of NO\textsubscript{2} emissions on the basis of GOME and ATSR-2 data on a regional scale; and (b) a nonlinear approach that provides NO\textsubscript{2} estimations on a global scale by exploiting both a large set of input parameters and a radial basis function (RBF) neural network. The resulting system represents a novel attempt to retrieve NO\textsubscript{2} emissions due to biomass burning on a regional or global scale by exploiting the synergy between GOME and ATSR-2 sensors.

2. Sensor and data description

The methodology proposed exploits the synergy between GOME and ATSR-2 sensors mounted on board the ERS-2 satellite. These sensors and the related data are briefly described here.

Owing its nadir viewing geometry, the GOME sensor provides the possibility of measuring the total column amount of atmospheric constituents down to the Earth’s surface. Its main scientific objective is to measure the global distribution of ozone and other trace gases through spectral analysis of the sunlight scattered from the Earth’s atmosphere and/or reflected by the surface in the spectral region 240–790 nm. The GOME instrument is a double monochromator and the entering light is split into four separate spectral bands. In each of the four spectral bands, the light is dispersed by a diffraction grating and focused onto monolithic silicon linear detector array comprising 1024 individual detector pixels. Detailed description of the instrument design and operation can be found in the GOME Users Manual (1995). GOME Level 2 products consist of slant and vertical amount of atmospheric constituents (ozone and nitrogen dioxide), and related uncertainties, retrieved from
calibrated geolocated radiances. They also include essential information on cloud parameters. Here, we use both NO$_2$ vertical column data and cloud information to select cloud-free scenes (this task is accomplished by imposing a threshold equal to 0.3 on the GOME cloud fraction factor). It is difficult to evaluate precisely the accuracy of the GOME nitrogen dioxide product, due to various problems such as the diurnal variation of NO$_2$ and the profile shape effect on the air mass factor (AMF). The overall accuracy of the GOME NO$_2$ total column is estimated to fall within the 5–20% ranges (http://earth.esa.int/gome_report99).

The conical scan of the ATSR-2 radiometer measures nadir and forward reflectances in four solar and three thermal channels with a spatial resolution of 1 km. An important application of ATSR-2 data is the detection of forest fires and other hotspots on the Earth’s surface. To this end, a widely used approach involves associating pixels with an average temperature of greater than 312 K to hotspots. The ATSR-2 product used here is the Monthly Global Fire Maps (Level 3 product, downloadable at http://shark1.esrin.esa.it/FIRE/AF/ATSR/). The user of the Fire product must take into account both the algorithm limitations due to the presence of clouds and atmospheric effects, and the fact that the fire temperature and extension are not taken into account in the processing. The ATSR night-time data used to determine the presence of hotspots (fires) are composed of four spectral bands: 1.6, 3.7, 11.0 and 12.0 µm. The detection capabilities depend on the fire temperature; they can be estimated as follows: from 0.1 ha at 600 K to 0.01 ha at 800 K, for a background temperature of 300 K.

The advantages of ATSR-2 are that, due to the night-time detection, no artefacts due to solar reflection are possible. Moreover, the absence of drift of the ERS orbit allows year-to-year comparisons, and the high radiometric sensitivity allows one the detection of little/not extended fires. Two well-known problems in the hotspot retrieval by using ATSR-2 data are: (a) ATSR-2 frames overlap (some fires can be detected twice); (b) only night-time fires are detected (this involves a global underestimation of the number of hotspots). It is worth noting that an ATSR FIRE Atlas product is presently in a validation phase (Arino et al. 2001).

3. Problem formulation and simplifying assumptions

The problem of the estimation of the amount of NO$_2$ in the troposphere by using data acquired by the GOME and ATSR-2 sensors is very complex. The complexity depends on several factors that decrease the precision of the measurements acquired by the sensors and increase the difficulty in the sensor integration. In particular, the following factors should be considered in addition to those described in the previous section (i.e. presence of clouds, uncertainties of data):

(a) GOME and ATSR-2 instruments acquire measurements at different times. In particular, GOME collects data during the day, whereas ATSR acquires data about hotspots during the night.

(b) GOME measurements are related to the total column amount of atmosphere constituents down to the Earth surface. Consequently, they are influenced both from the stratospheric and the tropospheric components of NO$_2$. This makes it complex to isolate the NO$_2$ component present in the troposphere.

(c) The NO$_2$ production in absence of fires (let us call it ‘normal’), which should not be considered in our estimation because uncorrelated with burning biomass, has a seasonal cycle that depends on the latitude of the geographical area investigated.
(d) The NO$_2$ plumes generated by combustion may be transported by the wind also far from the area of production. This may affect the spatial accuracy of the GOME measurements.

(e) The NO$_2$ produced by a forest fire depends on the amount of burned biomass, and hence on the land-cover of the area considered.

By taking into account the aforementioned issues, in the definition of the proposed system we considered the following simplifying assumptions:

(a) *The plume movements can be modelled with a Gaussian distribution.* We model with a Gaussian distribution the diffusive behaviour of NO$_2$ in the troposphere (Sharan et al. 1996).

(b) *No significant changes took place between the acquisitions of GOME and ATSR data.* This assumption, that in some cases may be critical, makes it possible to establish a correlation between the GOME and the ATSR measurements.

(c) *The stratospheric amount of NO$_2$ is constant over time.* According with Leue et al. (1999), we make the assumption that the stratospheric amount of NO$_2$ does not change over time. This reasonable assumption is very useful in the formulation of the proposed approach to separate the tropospheric and stratospheric NO$_2$ components.

4. Proposed system

In the proposed system, the GOME and ATSR measurements are integrated in order to establish a correlation between the amount of NO$_2$ present in the troposphere and hotspots related to active fires on the ground. Two different approaches to the NO$_2$ estimation are presented: a linear approach and a nonlinear approach based on RBF neural networks. The two approaches are described in the following sub-sections.

4.1. Linear approach

The linear approach is the simplest one. The rationale of such an approach is that the amount of NO$_2$ produced in a given area by biomass burning has a linear dependence on the number of hotspots detected in the considered area. This involves the assumption that a single hotspot emits a fixed amount $x$ of NO$_2$. Even though such an assumption may be critical, it allows one to obtain estimate of NO$_2$ produced in a given area by biomass burning with a level of accuracy acceptable for many applications.

The linear approach is composed of two phases: estimation of the average amount $x$ of NO$_2$ emissions related to each hotspot (training phase); estimation of NO$_2$ emissions on the basis of both the number of hotspots detected by ATSR-2 sensor and the $x$ value previously estimated (operative phase).

The training phase is carried out in three steps: (a) detection of abnormal amounts of NO$_2$ in the troposphere by using GOME data; (b) integration of GOME and ATSR-2 data for estimating the relationship between fires and GOME measurements; and (c) estimation of the coefficient $x$. These steps are described in greater details in the following.

(a) On the basis of the assumption that the stratospheric amount of NO$_2$ can be considered almost constant in time, we propose to estimate the tropospheric abnormal NO$_2$ emissions by computing temporal variations of GOME measurements versus the behaviour of historical series of data.
(b) Since biomass burning produces NO\(_2\) gases, the amount of NO\(_2\) in a given area is related to the number of hotspots detected in the considered site. Let us denote \(x_{ij}^{GOME}\) the position of the pixel centre related to the \(j\)-th GOME measure and \(x_{i}^{ATSR}\) the position of the \(i\)-th hotspot detected by the ATSR-2 sensor. In order to estimate the influence that the \(i\)-th hotspot has on the \(j\)-th GOME measure, the following weighting function \(f(x_{ij}^{GOME}, x_{i}^{ATSR})\) is adopted:

\[
f(x_{ij}^{GOME}, x_{i}^{ATSR}) = \begin{cases} 
  e^{d^2/d_{th}} & d \leq d_{th} \\
  0 & d > d_{th}
\end{cases}
\]

where \(d\) is the distance between \(x_{ij}^{GOME}\) and \(x_{i}^{ATSR}\), and \(d_{th}\) is a threshold value that is computed to take into account the diffusion of NO\(_2\) gases. By summing the components related to all the detected hotspots, we obtain an estimation of the total influence \(F(x_{ij}^{GOME})\) of forest fires on the \(j\)-th GOME measure:

\[
F(x_{ij}^{GOME}) = \sum_{i} f(x_{ij}^{GOME}, x_{i}^{ATSR})
\]

Consequently, \(F(x_{ij}^{GOME})\) can be considered as an equivalent number of hotspots located in \(x_{ij}^{GOME}\) and producing an amount of NO\(_2\) equal to the one actually measured by the GOME sensor.

(c) A linear regression between abnormal NO\(_2\) values identified in (a) and the correspondent \(F(x_{ij}^{GOME})\) values computed in (b) is applied in order to estimate the average amount \(z\) of NO\(_2\) emissions related to each hotspot.

It is worth noting that in the training phase of the linear approach it is mandatory to have historical series of GOME and ATSR-2 data of the investigated area. During the operative phase, only ATSR-2 data are required. The estimation of NO\(_2\) emissions related to the considered geographical area (let \(NO_{2}^{trop}\) denote such an amount) is carried out according to the following simple equation:

\[
NO_{2}^{trop} = zN
\]

where \(N\) is the total number of hotspots detected in the considered geographical area by analysing the ATSR-2 data.

Since the analysis of different geographical areas reveals that the amount of NO\(_2\) produced by a single hotspot depends on several factors (e.g. the latitude and the land-cover type that characterize the investigated area), the \(z\) value estimated for a specific geographical site can be used only in a neighbourhood of the considered area.

4.2. Nonlinear approach based on RBF neural networks

The proposed approach based on RBF neural networks considers the abnormal amount of NO\(_2\) produced in troposphere (\(NO_{2}^{trop}\)) as a nonlinear function depending on several parameters, i.e.:

\[
NO_{2}^{trop} = g(\vec{\delta})
\]

where each component of the vector \(\vec{\delta}\) is a physical parameter that influences the NO\(_2\) generated. In this approach, we consider the following input parameters for the NO\(_2\) estimation:

- **Vegetation index**: different types of land-covers (e.g. different types of 'fuel') produce different amounts of NO\(_2\).
- **Latitude**: important indication of the amount of NO\(_2\) produced by biomass burning and present in the troposphere can be retrieved by the knowledge of
the latitude of the investigated area. In fact, latitude provides hints about: (a) the combustion speed (such a parameter depends on the heat); (b) the land coverage; and (c) the seasonal cycle characterizing the normal amount of NO₂.

- **Number of fires in the area considered**: the NO₂ trop increases when the number of fires increases. Therefore, the information on the number of hotspots provided by the ATSR-2 sensor plays a fundamental role in the estimation process.

- **Spatial position of fires with respect to the GOME measurements**: the sensitivity of the GOME measurements to hotspots depends on the relative position between the GOME IFOV and fires.

- **Season**: the seasonal period influences the natural cycle of the NO₂. Consequently, it should be considered in the estimation process.

The function $g(\cdot)$, which defines the relation between the aforementioned input parameters and the estimated NO₂, is learned by the neural network in the training phase on the basis of selected examples.

The choice of adopting an RBF neural model depends on the ability of this kind of network to solve nonlinear problems of function estimation and regression (Powell 1987, Broomhead and Lowe 1988, Hatman et al. 1990, Park and Sandberg 1991) and on the advantages exhibited by this kind of neural model over others. In particular, one of the main advantages consists of a trade-off between the complexity of the training phase and the obtained accuracy (Bruzzone and Fernández Prieto 1999). Generally, a Gaussian RBF neural network is composed of three layers (input, hidden and output layers). Input neurons (as many as there are input features) just propagate input features to the next layer. Each neuron in the hidden layer is associated with a radial basis kernel function (usually a Gaussian function $\psi_j$ characterized by a centre $\mu_j$ and a width $\sigma_j$). In one-dimensional regression problems, the output layer is composed of one neuron that computes a simple weighted summation of the responses of the hidden neurons to a given pattern described by the input feature vector. The connections between the hidden neurons and the output neuron are associated with numerical values called ‘weights’ (figure 1 shows the architecture of the RBF neural network used in the proposed nonlinear system). In the training phase, the centre $\mu_j$ and the width $\sigma_j$ of each Gaussian activation function of hidden units, as well as the weights between the hidden units and the output unit, are computed. This can be accomplished by using classical training procedures (Moody and Darken 1989, Park and Sandberg 1991, Bruzzone and Fernández Prieto 1999).

Figure 1. Architecture of the RBF neural network used in the proposed nonlinear approach.
In particular, in our approach, the simple algorithm proposed by Moody and Darken (1989) is adopted. We refer the reader to Bianchini et al. (1995) and Bruzzone and Fernández Prieto (1999) for further details on RBF neural networks and on their training procedures.

The training on the neural network is based on the previously discussed assumption that the stratospheric amount of NO$_2$ can be considered to be almost constant in time. Accordingly, the tropospheric abnormal NO$_2$ emissions can be estimated by computing temporal variations of GOME measurements versus the behaviour of historical series of data. Such estimations are used in the learning of the network. In particular, the neural network learns the function $g(.)$ that relates all the input parameters to the different estimates of the tropospheric abnormal NO$_2$ emissions. During the operative phase, the neural architecture provides the estimation of NO$_2$ emissions, given the correspondent input vector.

When compared with the linear approach, the nonlinear approach exhibits some important advantages:

(a) It is not based on the assumption that the relation between the tropospheric NO$_2$ emissions due to biomass burning and the forest fires that affect the considered geographical area is linear. Consequently, more accurate estimates of the tropospheric abnormal NO$_2$ amount are expected.

(b) It is able also to estimate emissions in areas different from the ones used in the training phase (thanks to the generalization ability of the neural network). As a consequence, the nonlinear approach can be applied to geographical areas where time series of GOME and ATSR-2 data for the estimation of $\alpha$ are not available.

Regarding the latter point, as described in §4.1, the linear approach requires the computation of an $\alpha$ value that depends on the specific geographical area considered. The function $g(\beta)$ (i.e. the relation between the set of all the parameters $\beta$ and the amount of NO$_2$ estimated by the nonlinear approach) implicitly deals with this dependence, thus allowing one to analyse geographical areas for which the $\alpha$ value is not explicitly known. Consequently, an important difference between the two approaches is the scale at which they are operative. The linear approach allows one to estimate the amount of NO$_2$ at a local scale; whereas the nonlinear approach is suitable for developing a global scale monitoring system.

5. Experimental results

In order to assess the effectiveness of the proposed method, a data set related to different geographical areas was considered. In particular, five areas (Africa Coast, Australia, Congo, Mexico and North Brazil) characterized by different land-cover types and latitudes were selected (figure 2). Multitemporal sequences of GOME and ATSR-2 data acquired on the selected areas between November 1996 and May 1999 were considered.

To evaluate the effectiveness of both the linear and the nonlinear approaches, multitemporal data were divided into two different series. Data acquired between November 1996 and June 1998 were used for the training phase, and data acquired between July 1998 and May 1999 were used for the test of the proposed methods. A detailed description of the training and test sets is given in table 1. In addition, in table 2, the minimum and maximum NO$_2$ concentration values derived from the GOME measurements for each one of the considered areas are reported.
Table 1. Description of the training and test sets for the five geographical areas considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical area</th>
<th>Number of patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Training set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa Coast</td>
<td>5298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>6840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>5310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>7283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Brazil</td>
<td>8013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Minimum and maximum NO$_2$ concentration values affecting the geographical areas in the period under study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical area</th>
<th>NO$_2$ concentration values ($10^{14}$mol cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minimum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa Coast</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Brazil</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1. Results obtained with the linear approach

The linear approach was applied separately to each area. First of all, anomalous amounts of NO$_2$ in the troposphere were detected on the basis of the analysis of training samples. In particular, samples of the training data not affected by biomass burning (i.e. pixels with $F(x^gOM_E)=0$) were identified. Then the average (computed both in the time and in the spatial domains) of the NO$_2$ values measured by the GOME sensor on these samples was derived. Under the assumptions considered in §3, the resulting average shows the normal amount of NO$_2$ present in the atmosphere over the area considered. Abnormal amounts in the troposphere were thus obtained by differencing the GOME measurements and the aforementioned normal amount of NO$_2$. As an example, figure 3 shows the abnormal amounts of NO$_2$ computed for a specific pixel of the Africa Coast area in the period between November 1996 and June 1998 (see the green profile). In the same figure, red marks show the values...

Figure 2. Geographical areas considered for evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed methods (the selected areas are within the rectangles).
assumed by the function $F(x_{j}^{GOME})$. At this point, the value of the $z$ coefficient was retrieved according to the methodology described in §4.1. Finally, the amount of NO$_2$ produced in the considered area is estimated according to equation (3). Figure 4 shows the profile of such an amount computed for the Africa Coast area in the period between 1 July 1998 and 31 May 1999.

In order to obtain a quantitative evaluation of the effectiveness of the proposed approach, the amounts of NO$_2$ due to biomass burning were compared with the reference values (i.e. the abnormal amounts of NO$_2$ estimated by computing the temporal variations of GOME measurements versus the behaviour of the series of test data). The resulting errors for all the considered geographical areas are reported in table 3. As can be seen, the percentage error is globally satisfactory. In fact, even if the Congo and the Mexico areas are characterized by errors equal to 43.6% and 35.7%, respectively, all other errors are rather small. It is worth noting that this evaluation of results is done by taking into account that the linear method considers just ATSR-2 data in the test phase. Consequently, it is not reasonable to expect that this method

Figure 3. Abnormal amounts of NO$_2$ present in the troposphere versus the Julian day. The values $F(x_{j}^{GOME})$ are also reported (see red marks) (Africa Coast region).

Figure 4. Amount of NO$_2$ emissions produced by biomass burning versus the data: values estimated with the linear approach (red line); reference values (black line) (Africa Coast region).
provides very high accuracies; instead it should be used for deriving general indications about NO$_2$ emission behaviour in the different test sites. In order to understand in greater detail the behaviour of the approach on the Congo and Mexico areas, a deeper analysis of the GOME time series was carried out. Regarding the Congo area, the analysis revealed that the behaviour of the NO$_2$ emissions was very unstable during the historical period considered. Consequently, the length of the series of data used was not sufficient to compute with a good approximation the normal value of NO$_2$ present in the atmosphere. In this case, to increase the estimation accuracy, a longer historical series of GOME measurements would be necessary. The Mexico site includes the Mexico City area, which is affected by high urban pollution. Consequently, as confirmed by additional experiments not reported in this paper, the error incurred in this site mainly depends on the urban pollution present in this city.

Table 3 also reports the values of the $\alpha$ coefficient obtained for the different areas. As one can see, these values strongly depend on the geographical area considered. Consequently, the $\alpha$ value estimated for a specific geographical site can be used only in a neighbourhood of the zone considered; hence, the linear approach can be applied only at a regional scale. In order to support this conclusion, a further experiment was carried out. Specifically, the linear approach was jointly trained on the five considered areas and then tested on all the geographical sites. The errors obtained are shown in table 4, where the estimated global value of the $\alpha$ coefficient is also given for comparisons with the values presented in table 3. As can be seen, the error yielded is sharply higher than the overall error achieved by analysing the five areas separately (i.e. 41.9% vs 25.5%). This confirms the usefulness of the linear approach as a local-scale monitoring tool.

5.2. Results obtained with the nonlinear approach

In order to assess the effectiveness of the nonlinear approach, two main experiments were carried out. The aim of the first experiment was to compare the accuracies provided by the nonlinear approach with the ones exhibited by the linear method. The aim of the second experiment was to investigate the effectiveness of the nonlinear approach on a local scale.
approach for developing a global-scale monitoring system. In particular, the capability of the nonlinear approach to estimate emissions in a geographical area different from the ones used in the training phase was assessed.

As the objective of the nonlinear approach is to derive a global-scale monitoring system, this approach was applied jointly to all the selected areas. In this way, the RBF neural network can learn the complex relation existing between the set of all the input parameters \( \theta \) and the amount of NO\(_2\) emissions due to biomass burning.

In the first experiment the training phase was carried out as follows. For each one of the five selected geographical areas, the abnormal amounts of NO\(_2\) were estimated by computing temporal variations of GOME measurements on the training data according to the method described in the previous subsection. These variations were computed separately on each considered area. The estimates obtained were used for the training of the network. Different RBF neural network architectures (i.e. architectures with different numbers of hidden neurons) were considered. At this point, the effectiveness of the nonlinear approach was evaluated by considering the test samples. The estimated amounts of NO\(_2\) were compared with the reference values (i.e. amounts of NO\(_2\) computed by considering the temporal variations of GOME measurements versus the normal amount of NO\(_2\) estimated on the test data).

The percentage errors obtained are given in table 5. A comparison between table 3 and table 5 shows that the nonlinear approach generally allows one to reduce the errors in the estimated NO\(_2\) significantly with respect to the linear method. In greater detail, the nonlinear approach increases the accuracy in all the areas except for North Brazil (where in any case the error is acceptable). The decrease of performances on the North Brazil area is due mainly to the fact that the neural network realizes a trade-off between errors incurred on each single area and generalization capabilities.

In the second experiment (i.e. analysis of the capability of the nonlinear approach to estimate NO\(_2\) in areas other than the ones considered in the training set), five trials were carried out by using a leaving-one-out method. In particular, in each trial, the learning of the RBF neural network was carried out by considering four of the five available geographical areas, while the test was accomplished on the remaining site. The results obtained are shown in table 6. As one can see, the ability of neural network to generalize allows the nonlinear approach to estimate NO\(_2\) emissions with high accuracy in geographical areas different than the ones used for the training. Specifically, it can be seen that the decrease of accuracies between table 5 and table 6 are not significant.

### Table 5. Percentage errors provided by the nonlinear approach in estimating NO\(_2\) emissions produced by biomass burning on the test sets related to the different geographical areas analysed (errors incurred with different neural architectures are reported). Results are yielded by considering a training set composed of samples related to all the five sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of hidden neurons</th>
<th>Africa Coast</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Congo</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>North Brazil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6. Percentage errors provided by the nonlinear approach in estimating NO\textsubscript{2} emissions produced by biomass burning on the test sets related to the different geographical areas analysed (errors incurred with different neural architectures are reported). Results are yielded by defining training and test sets with the leaving-one-out method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of hidden neurons</th>
<th>Africa Coast</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Congo</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>North Brazil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion and conclusions

In this paper, a novel system for estimating NO\textsubscript{2} emissions resulting from biomass burning has been proposed. The system performs the estimation process by integrating data acquired by the GOME and the ATSR-2 sensors mounted on board of the ERS-2 satellite.

Two different approaches have been presented for estimating NO\textsubscript{2}: an approach based on a linear model and a nonlinear approach based on RBF neural networks.

The linear approach is based on the assumption that an equivalent amount of NO\textsubscript{2} emissions for each generic fire can be estimated. This estimation is carried out according to a linear regression between GOME and ATSR-2 measurements applied to historical series of remotely sensed data. In the estimation process, a specific model for deleting the stratospheric NO\textsubscript{2} component by the GOME measurements has been considered. This approach exhibits two main advantages: (a) it is very simple; and (b) in the operative phase the NO\textsubscript{2} estimation is carried out on the basis of ATSR-2 data (GOME data are only used in the training of the system for computing the equivalent amount of NO\textsubscript{2} associated with each fire). The main disadvantages of this estimation procedure are: (a) it does not take into account the differences in the production of NO\textsubscript{2} often associated with different hotspots; (b) it is not able to take into account the complex relationship between the amount of NO\textsubscript{2} emissions present in the troposphere and the large number of parameters that influence this value; and (c) it requires historical series of training data for all the geographical areas studied.

The nonlinear approach based on RBF neural networks overcomes these disadvantages. It takes into account a set of parameters that may influence the presence of NO\textsubscript{2} emissions in the troposphere resulting from fires. In particular, this nonparametric approach models the complex nonlinear function that maps the input parameters in the output NO\textsubscript{2} estimation. The neural approach exhibits two additional important advantages: (a) it does not require the definition of a specific linear model for each geographical area considered in the test phase; and (b) thanks to the generalization ability of the neural networks, it makes it possible to estimate NO\textsubscript{2} emissions in areas for which historical series of data are not available.

Experimental results obtained on a data set related to five different geographical areas point out that the proposed approaches seem to be a promising tool for monitoring NO\textsubscript{2} emissions. As future developments of this work, we are considering two main issues: (a) further extension of the neural approach experiments by considering other input parameters, therefore increasing the precision of the function.
used by the neural networks for estimating NOx emissions; and (b) generalization of the proposed system for detecting anomalous NOx emissions from environmental pollution in large urban areas.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to thank ATSR World Fire Atlas and European Space Agency (ESA/ESRIN) for providing the data used in the experiments.

References


CASADIO, S., ZEIHNER, C., COLAGRANDE, P., and BUONGIORNO, A., 1999, GOME NOx and ATSR temperature data analysis: a hint to the role of biomass burning in trace gases concentration variations, European Symposium on Atmospheric Measurements from Space, ESTEC, Noordwijk, the Netherlands, 18–22 January 1999, ESA WPP-161, 1, 361–368.


